

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,480	07/06/2001	Ashok V. Joshi	011125	4158
75	90 01/24/2003			
Jovan N. Jovanovic 1327 W. Washington Blvd. Suite 5G/H			EXAMINER	
			GHALI, ISIS A D	SIS A D
Chicago, IL 60607			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

`

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/900,480	JOSHI, ASHOK V.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Isis Ghali	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 121	November 2002 .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-17 and 25-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) 🔲 Notic	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :			
U.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 9			

Art Unit: 1615

IJ

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

The receipt is acknowledged of applicant's request for extension of time, and election, both filed 11/12/2002.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group IV, claims 18-24, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-17, and 25-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Groups, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 8.

The following election of species is required:

- 3. Claim 24 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising
 - a) TiO₂ and titanates, FeO₃ and its compounds, silver and copper oxides, vanadium pentoxide and vandates, tin oxides and stannates, NbO₂ and niobates, Bi₂O₃;
 - b) halides, chalcogenides, bismuth chalcogenides;
 - c) silver ion conductors;

Art Unit: 1615

- d) solid solutions of NbO₂ and TiO₂;
- e) silicon;
- f) germanium doped with p-type and n-type impurities;
- g) P-N junctions of semiconductors;
- h) photovoltaic materials.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jody Factor on January 15, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/900,480 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

Specification

6. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

7. The use of the trademark "Nasicon" and "Nafion" has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 18- 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 18-24 are indefinite as they recite the phrase "associated with". It is not clear if the association of the substrate with the wound is by simple contact or via an adhesive, as well as, if the association of the beneficial agent

Art Unit: 1615

Ď,

with the substrate is chemical, adhesive, or impregnation of the beneficial material into the substrate. Clarification is requested.

- 10. Claim 21 contains the trademark/trade names "Nasicon" and "Nafion". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe reactive material and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.
- 11. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the

Art Unit: 1615

remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 24 recites the broad recitation oxides, and the claim also recites silver, titanium, ferrous, and copper oxides which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Furthermore, claim 24 recites the broad recitation chalcogenides, and the claim also recites only bismuth chalcogenide which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

12. Regarding claim 24, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). The claim also contains the terms "etc." and "other" that render the claim indefinite because it is not clear to the examiner as the breadth of such words and what additional limitation do the terms impart. Furthermore, the claim contains unnecessary capitalization of some word, such as "silver, copper, vanadium, titanates, niobates, tin, silver ion conductors, silicon, germanium, photovoltaic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1615

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of an application filed in the United State only if the international application designating the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

14. Claims 18, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,563,485 ('485).

US '485 disclosed a material for topical burn therapy (wound healing) comprising a substrate to which silver salts or zinc salts is applied (col.2, lines 50-52; col.3, lines 17, 64-65; col.4, lines 65-67). The silver salts are incorporated on or within the substrate (col.3, lines 23-28). Examples of the substrate used is woven fabric (col.3, lines 50-51). The limitation claims 18, 19 and 22 are met by the reference.

15. Claims 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,333,093 ('093).

US '093 disclosed wound dressing where the skin contacting surface comprises substrate associated with metal selected from the group comprising silver (abstract; col.3, lines 1-7; col.5, line 40). The skin-contacting layer comprises matrix that incorporates the silver deposited with atom molecules of a different material. The different material includes metals such as Ti, Zn, Si, or oxides or halides thereof (col.3, lines 8-13); and this reads on the metal ion exchanged membrane recited in claims 20-24. The limitations of claims 18-24 are met by the reference.

Art Unit: 1615

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (703) 305-4048.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM to

5:30 PM, Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

Isis Ghali

Examiner

Art Unit 1615

THURMAN K PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY ENTER 1600

Page 8